

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/665,529	Applicant(s) YAMAUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner PAWANDEEP S. DHINGRA	Art Unit 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PAWANDEEP S. DHINGRA. (3) Twyler Haskins.

(2) Catherine Voisinnet. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sasamoto and Hamada.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and applicant's representative discussed the outstanding rejection, proposed new dependent claims, combination of references. Examiner will consider applicant's formal response if filed and would consider the response in light of the interview .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/P. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	
------------------------------------	--